

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/086,910 | 02/28/2002 | Ching Yao Huang | Huang 14-1-2-1 1674 | | |
| 46290 | 7590 10/13/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON | | | CHO, UN C | | |
| 10333 RICHMOND, SUITE 1100 HOUSTON, TX 77042 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| ,,, | | | 2617 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/13/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|-----------------|--------------|
| 10/086,910 | HUANG ET AL. |
| Examiner | Art Unit |
| Un C. Cho | 2617 |

| | Un C. Cho | 2617 | |
|---|---|--|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the d | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>26 September 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in | fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or expired. | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailir (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH | ng date of the final rejecti | on. |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date | | 136(a) and the appropria | to extension for |
| nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| | " " 07.050.44.07 11 | C 1 1 2012 4 4 | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | o avoid dismissal of th | |
| 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | out prior to the date of filing a brief | will not be entered by | ecause |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | | | 00000 |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | | educing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally rej | jected claims. | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | 16 and 41.33(a)). | | |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | :· | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). | · | • | _ |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | ill be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-29</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| 3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons why the affida | vit or other evidence is | s necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | n of the status of the claims after e | entry is below or attach | ned. |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | t door NOT place the application: | n condition for allawa | noo boocuss: |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | | n condition for allowal | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other: | (PTO/SB/U8) Paper NO(s). | GEORGE ENG | 4 |
| | SUPER | GEÖRGE ENG /ISORY PATENT E) | KAMINER |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Newly added limitation "... a paging request that is used to determine a geographic area that includes the mobile device, the paging request being generated based at least ..." changes the scope of claim 1, thus it would require further search and/or consideration.